NMTC MONTHLY REPORT

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION ON THE NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT INDUSTRY

May 2007 Volume VI, Issue V, Published By Novogradac & Company LLP

Financing Nursing Homes and Assisted Living Facilities with NMTCs Part II

By Kristin A. DeKuiper and Jeffrey D. Gaulin, Holland & Knight

Section 45D of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) provides for a new markets tax credit (NMTC) to investors who make a qualified equity investment (QEI) into a qualified community development entity (CDE). At its most basic, IRC Section 45D further requires that the CDE make a qualified low-income community investment (QLICI) in or to a qualified active low-income community business (QALICB). Certain businesses are statutorily excluded from qualifying as a QALICB (the so-called "sin businesses"). In addition to these sin businesses, businesses that operate "residential rental property" also do not qualify as QALICBs under IRC Section 45D. If a nursing home or assisted living facility is defined as "residential rental property" for purposes of the NMTC, this provision could prevent a nursing home or other similar facility from qualifying as a QALICB.

Last month, in part one of this article, we discussed relevant sections of Treasury Regulations and several private letter rulings. This month we continue with a look at strategies for structuring commercial services income and related guidance.

Structuring Commercial Services Income

In certain circumstances, nursing or supportive services may be provided by an outside service provider who leases space within the building. In this case, as with the hair salon described in PLR 8240067, the rental income earned by the facility owner from the service provider would be commercial rental income. Accordingly, if a service provider were to lease space in a facility at a rent level that resulted in the rents from the dwelling units being less than 80 percent of the total gross rental income (including the commercial rent), the nursing home facility would not constitute residential rental property. In such a case, the service provider would presumably be willing to pay commercial rent in an amount projected to leave the

service provider with a net profit after collecting expected service income from the residents.

As an alternative to leasing space within the facility to an outside service provider to provide the additional services that nursing homes or assisted living facilities provide, the owner itself could provide these services from space that it occupies within the facility. IRC Section 168(e)(2)(A)(ii)(II) provides that "if any portion of the building or structure is **occupied by the taxpayer, the gross rental income** from such building or structure shall include the **rental value** of the portion so occupied." As discussed in part one, because former IRC Section 167 contained a similar provision, former Treas. Reg. Section 1.167(j)-3 provides instructive guidance.

Former Treas. Reg. §1.167(j)-3(b)(4)(iii) provided

that:

If any portion of a building or structure is occupied by the tax-payer, the fair rental value of such portion shall be included in gross rental income in determining whether the building or structure qualifies as residential rental property. Thus, if the taxpayer uses space in the building for the operation of a drugstore, the fair rental value of the space is treated as gross rental income from the building but not as rent from a dwelling unit.

The former regulation also provides an example of the taxpayer operating a commercial store in its building. The fair rental value of the store is included as non-dwelling unit rental income in the determination of whether the 80 percent threshold is met. (See Example 2 of Former Treas. Reg. §1.167(j)-3(b)(6).)

Nursing Homes

(continued from page 1)

The IRS provided very similar guidance in chief counsel advice (CCA) 200526002. There a taxpayer sought to depreciate a portion of his apartment unit (which was one of eight dwelling units in the apartment building) as residential rental property despite the presence of a home office in his unit. The IRS stated that the example from former Treas. Reg. §1.167(j)-3(b)(6) referenced above:

show[s] that if a building or structure is used to provide living accommodations on a rental basis and if any portion of that building or structure is occupied by the taxpayer, the fair rental value of the portion occupied by the taxpayer as a residence is treated as gross rental income from the building and as rental income from dwelling units and that the fair rental value of the portion occupied by the taxpayer for a commercial activity (such as operating a store) is treated as gross rental income from the building but not as rental income from dwelling units.

The IRS stated that because the rental value of the home office did not exceed 20 percent of gross rental income from the dwelling units in the building, any depreciable portion of the taxpayer's unit was properly depreciable as residential rental property.

Accordingly, it appears that owner-occupied space valued at its fair rental value is includible in a facility's total gross rental income. In the context of a nursing home or assisted living facility, assuming that the owner of the building occupies space of sufficiently high fair rental value, the rents attributable to dwelling units may not equal or exceed 80 percent of the total gross rental income and the facility would not constitute residential rental property. An appraisal would be necessary to support the determination of the fair rental value of the commercial space (offices, conference rooms, treatment rooms, staff lounges and lunchrooms, kitchens, nursing stations) in the facility. Presumably the valuation would be based on a combination of what a third-party service provider would be willing to pay for the space in exchange for the right to receive service income from the provision of services to the residents (which may be significant income) and what comparable dwelling units might be rented for in the market if no services were provided (i.e., a studio apartment in a senior apartment building).

The precise structure that will work best will also be dependent on whether Medicaid, Medicaid Home and Community-Based Waiver programs, or other reimbursement or subsidy programs will permit the division of payments from or with respect to occupants into a rent component payable to the owner and a service component payable to a service provider. If programmatic requirements prohibit this split, the owner-occupied approach may be the only solution.

(continued on page 3)

NMTC REPORT EDITORIAL BOARD

Publisher Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

> **Editor** Jane Bowar Zastrow

Managing Editor Alex Ruiz

Contributing Writers Kristin A. DeKuiper Jeffrey D. Gaulin Mary Tingerthal

Technical Editor Owen P. Gray, CPA

Staff Writer Anne Townsend

ProductionJames Matuszak

NMTC REPORT INFORMATION

Novogradac & Company LLP 246 First Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 E-mail: cpas@novoco.com

Address all correspondence and editorial submissions to: Jane Bowar Zastrow Telephone: 415.356.8034

Address inquiries regarding advertising opportunities to: Junhee Byun Telephone: 415.356.8037

Editorial material in this publication is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property valuation or any other material covered in this publication can only be obtained from your tax advisor.

No portion of this material may be reprinted without written permission of Novogradac & Company

© Novogradac & Company LLP, 2007 All rights reserved. ISSN 1541-2474

NMTC REPORT ADVISORY BOARD

Chairman
Thomas G. Tracy
Hunter Chase & Company

Bruce Bonjour Perkins Coie LLC

Rick Edson Housing Capital Advisors Inc.

Donald S. Holm IIINational Trust Community
Investment Corporation

Lewis M. HorowitzLane Powell

Norris Lozano Portland Family of Funds

Scott Lindquist Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal

> Benson Roberts Local Initiatives Support Corporation

John SimonSidley Austin Brown & Wood

Herbert Stevens Nixon Peabody LLP

Mary TingerthalCommunity Reinvestment Fund

Joseph A. Wesolowski Enterprise Community Investment Inc.

HAS YOUR ADDRESS CHANGED?

We at Novogradac would like to stay in touch so if your contact information has changed, we'd like to know. Call us at 415.356.8037, or log on to www. newmarketscredits.com and click on the Address Change at the foot of the page. Thank you for helping us keep our records up to date.

Nursing Homes

(continued from page 2)

It should be noted that one result of structuring a facility so that it qualifies as commercial rental property is that, while an NMTC investment may be permitted, the facility owner will lose the right to depreciate the real property as residential rental property for a 27½-year life. Instead, it will be required to treat the property as nonresidential real property with a 39-year depreciable life.

In conclusion, using the NMTC to provide financing to a nursing home or assisted living facility presents several unique challenges that require careful consideration. However, in many instances by structuring the transaction with the foregoing discussion in mind, nursing homes and other skilled nursing facilities will qualify as QALICBs eligible to receive the benefits of NMTC financing. \$\display\$

Kristin A. DeKuiper Esq. is a partner with Holland & Knight's Boston, Mass. office. She can be reached at kristin.dekuiper@hklaw.com or at 617-854-1432. Jeffrey D. Gaulin Esq. is an associate in Holland & Knight's Boston, Mass. office. He can be reached at jeff.gaulin@hklaw.com or 617-573-5870.

This article first appeared in the May 2007 issue of Novogradac & Company's NMTC Monthly Report and is reproduced here with the permission of Novogradac & Company LLP.

© Novogradac & Company LLP 2007 - All Rights Reserved.

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided – any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.newmarketscredits.com.