

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

APRIL 2020

EDITOR'S NOTE: BANKS AND LITIGATION

Victoria Prussen Spears

SO YOU WANT TO SUE A BANK, OR DEFEND ONE?

Timothy D. Naegele

FEDERAL REGULATORS PROPOSE *MADDEN* FIX

Lawrence D. Kaplan, Thomas P. Brown, Richard L. Davis, and Richard Hopkins

**RECENT U.S. GOVERNMENT ACTIONS REAFFIRM THE IMPORTANCE (AND DIFFICULTY) OF
"KNOWING YOUR CUSTOMER"**

Soo-Mi Rhee, Baruch Weiss, Nicholas L. Townsend, Tal R. Machnes, Tom McSorley, and
Junghyun Baek

**COMMUNITY BANKS AND CREDIT UNIONS ARE TARGETED WITH CLASS ACTION LAWSUITS FOR
NON-SUFFICIENT FUNDS AND OVERDRAFT CHARGE PRACTICES**

William T. Repasky and Shannon M. Kuhl

OPEN BANKING, APIS, AND LIABILITY ISSUES

Rich Zukowsky

FDIC REVAMPS BROKERED DEPOSIT RULES

Jeremy Newell and Cody Gaffney

AVOIDING WINDFALLS ON LIBOR FALLBACK REFERENCE RATES

Brandon Dalling, Frank X. Schoen, Tristan Pelham Webb, and CR Park

LIBOR INDEX DISCONTINUANCE: IMPACT ON RESIDENTIAL ADJUSTABLE-RATE MORTGAGES

Douglas I. Youngman and Robert M. Jaworski

BANKING AGENCIES ADOPT COMMUNITY BANK LEVERAGE RATIO RULES

Lee A. Meyerson, Keith A. Noreika, Spencer A. Sloan, and Adam J. Cohen



LexisNexis

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 137

NUMBER 4

April 2020

Editor's Note: Banks and Litigation Victoria Prussen Spears	161
So You Want To Sue A Bank, Or Defend One? Timothy D. Naegele	164
Federal Regulators Propose <i>Madden</i> Fix Lawrence D. Kaplan, Thomas P. Brown, Richard L. Davis, and Richard Hopkins	179
Recent U.S. Government Actions Reaffirm the Importance (and Difficulty) of "Knowing Your Customer" Soo-Mi Rhee, Baruch Weiss, Nicholas L. Townsend, Tal R. Machnes, Tom McSorley, and Junghyun Baek	185
Community Banks and Credit Unions Are Targeted with Class Action Lawsuits for Non-Sufficient Funds and Overdraft Charge Practices William T. Repasky and Shannon M. Kuhl	191
Open Banking, APIs, and Liability Issues Rich Zukowsky	196
FDIC Revamps Brokered Deposit Rules Jeremy Newell and Cody Gaffney	201
Avoiding Windfalls on Libor Fallback Reference Rates Brandon Dalling, Frank X. Schoen, Tristan Pelham Webb, and CR Park	205
Libor Index Discontinuance: Impact on Residential Adjustable-Rate Mortgages Douglas I. Youngman and Robert M. Jaworski	209
Banking Agencies Adopt Community Bank Leverage Ratio Rules Lee A. Meyerson, Keith A. Noreika, Spencer A. Sloan, and Adam J. Cohen	212

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call
Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2020 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

Libor Index Discontinuance: Impact on Residential Adjustable-Rate Mortgages

Douglas I. Youngman and Robert M. Jaworski

The London Interbank Offered Rate Index, a common index used in residential adjustable-rate mortgages, is anticipated to be discontinued at the end of 2021. The authors of this article discuss the New York Federal Reserve Bank's Alternative Reference Rates Committee's recommendations regarding the fallback language for new closed-end, residential adjustable-rate mortgages.

The New York Federal Reserve Bank's Alternative Reference Rates Committee ("ARRC") released its recommendations regarding the London Interbank Offered Rate Index ("Libor Index") fallback language for new closed-end, residential ("ARMs").¹ As discussed in more detail in the recommendations, the Libor Index, a common index used in residential ARMs, is anticipated to be discontinued at the end of 2021. Both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac immediately adopted the ARRC recommended fallback language, which they will require to be included in all ARMs originated for purchase by them after publication of updated uniform ARM notes (expected in the first quarter of 2020).²

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Below is a summary of the key points of these recommendations.

- The ARRC created a Consumer Products Working Group in 2019 to

* Douglas I. Youngman is a partner and financial services attorney at Holland & Knight LLP, focusing his practice in the field of derivatives, complex financial transactions, and structured products. Robert M. Jaworski is of counsel at the firm focusing on consumer credit compliance and other regulatory issues of concern to banks, thrifts, credit unions, mortgage bankers, secondary mortgage lenders, finance companies, and industry-related trade associations. The authors may be reached at douglas.youngman@hkclaw.com and robert.jaworski@hkclaw.com, respectively.

¹ The Recommendations, including the recommended fallback language, are available from the New York Federal Reserve Bank Alternative Reference Rates Committee, *available at* https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/Microsites/arrc/files/2019/ARM_Fallback_Language.pdf.

² Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to use ARRC recommended fallback language for single-family ARMs, *available at* <https://www.fanniemae.com/portal/media/statements/2019/statement-fm-aarc-sf-arms-6951.html>; and <https://freddiemac.gcs-web.com/news-releases/news-release-details/freddie-mac-use-arrc-recommended-fallback-language-single-family>.

address Libor Index fallback for consumer products, including ARMs. The working group established the following key principles, which are reflected in the recommendations:

- Replacement rates, spreads, timing and mechanics should be “easily comprehensible in order to be effectively communicated to all stakeholders in advance of the transition;”
- Replacement rates should seek to “minimize expected value transfer based on observable, objective rules determined in advance;” and
- Flexibility and discretion should be “limited to the extent possible to ensure ease of application and to minimize the potential for disputes.”
- Fallback Triggers: The Libor Index will be replaced if—and only if—either of the following events (each, a “Replacement Event”) occurs:
 - The Administrator (for Libor, ICE Benchmark Administration) has permanently or indefinitely stopped providing the Libor Index to the general public, or
 - The Administrator or its regulator (for Libor, the United Kingdom’s Financial Conduct Authority) issues an official public statement that the Libor Index is no longer reliable or representative.
- A new index (“Replacement Index”) to replace the Libor Index will be determined by the note holder pursuant to the following waterfall, which limits discretion, subject to contractual provisions:
 - If a Replacement Index has been selected or recommended for use in consumer products, including residential adjustable-rate mortgages, by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, or a committee endorsed or convened by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or the Federal Reserve Bank of New York at the time of a Replacement Event, the note holder *will* select that index as the Replacement Index.
 - The ARRC anticipates that the replacement rate and spread adjustment will be published jointly as a single “spread-adjusted” rate and made publicly available.
 - The recommended spread-adjusted rates will be based on the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (“SOFR”) index and may be either based on averages of SOFR or on a

SOFR term rate.

- If a Replacement Index has not been selected or recommended for use in consumer products at the time of a Replacement Event, the note holder will make a reasonable, good faith effort to select a Replacement Index and a replacement margin that, when added together, the note holder would reasonably expect will minimize any change in the cost of the loan, taking into account the historical performance of the Libor Index and the Replacement Index.

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Any decision to incorporate recommended language is completely voluntary.
- The ARRC recommendations discussed above are consistent with other ARRC recommendations for other products, but reflect a simpler approach for consumer products.
- Most current ARM notes already give the note holder broad discretion to choose a new index based on comparable information. Many lenders may prefer this historical language, but the ARRC suggests that the recommended provisions provide “greater clarity to consumers on when and how a Replacement Index will be chosen.”
- The replacement rate for the ARM may not match up with the replacement rate for swaps hedging the ARM. Timing of conversion may be different, based on different terms for triggers. The replacement rate itself may also be different, as the primary fallback for swap rates is expected to be a compounded average rate calculated in arrears, while the recommendations note that such a calculation for an ARM would run afoul of current consumer regulations which require advance notice of any payment change.