# THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION

Editor's Note: The Hurricane Hitting Federal Agencies

Victoria Prussen Spears

Rescission of the Department of Homeland Security Protected Areas Policy: Implications for Healthcare Systems

Nora Katz, Beth Neal Pitman, and Colbey B. Reagan

Federal Reserve and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Withdraw from the Network for Greening the Financial System

Matthew Bisanz and Jeffrey P. Taft

Attorney General Bondi Refocuses Justice Department Priorities in New Guidance Documents

Ralph J. Caccia, Stephen J. Obermeier, Tessa Capeloto, Vesna K. Harasic-Yaksic, Tatiana Sainati, Mark B. Sweet, Roderick L. Thomas, Nick Peterson, Corey J. Hauser, and Grace Moore

The Trump Administration Takes Aim at the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Isabelle De Smedt and Albert Stieglitz

President Trump's First Week in Office Was a Momentous One for Digital Assets Matthew Bisanz, Joseph A. Castelluccio, Kimberly Hamm, Andrew Olmem, and Jennifer Zepralka

Trump 2.0: A New Era for the Regulation of Cryptocurrency and Digital Assets
Brian H. Montgomery, David Oliwenstein, Tony Phillips, Daniel C. Wood, Adam Goldberg, and Brianna Larissa Ramos

Déjà Vu All Over Again: Trump's Tariffs and Their Impact on Government Contractors J. Alex Ward and Sandeep N. Nandivada

Treasury Department Finalizes U.S. Outbound Investment Rules

Nancy A. Fischer, Matthew R. Rabinowitz, Zachary C. Rozen, Samantha Franks, Erin Kwiatkowski, and Marcus J. Burden

Navigating New Security Requirements Under the Justice Department's Bulk Data Regulations: Is NIST Compliance Enough?

Kaylee Cox Bankston and Reiley Jo Porter

Department of Justice-Federal Trade Commission Antitrust Guidelines for Business Activities Affecting Workers: Key Changes and Practical Takeaways

Mark I. Krotoski, Michael I. Sibarium, and Preston Taylor Edmondson

Key Highlights of Internal Revenue Code Section 761 Final Regulations and Impact on Internal Revenue Code Section 6417 Direct Payments

Amish Shah, Bryan Marcelino, Brad M. Seltzer, Nicole M. Elliott, Elizabeth Crouse, David H. Mann, Roger David Aksamit, and Joshua David Odintz



## The Journal of Federal Agency Action

Volume 3, No. 3 | May-June 2025

155	Editor's Note: The Hurricane Hitting Federal Agenc	ies
	Victoria Prussen Spears	

- 159 Rescission of the Department of Homeland Security Protected Areas Policy: Implications for Healthcare Systems
  Nora Katz, Beth Neal Pitman, and Colbey B. Reagan
- 165 Federal Reserve and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
  Withdraw from the Network for Greening the Financial System
  Matthew Bisanz and Jeffrey P. Taft
- 169 Attorney General Bondi Refocuses Justice Department Priorities in New Guidance Documents

Ralph J. Caccia, Stephen J. Obermeier, Tessa Capeloto, Vesna K. Harasic-Yaksic, Tatiana Sainati, Mark B. Sweet, Roderick L. Thomas, Nick Peterson, Corey J. Hauser, and Grace Moore

175 The Trump Administration Takes Aim at the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

Isabelle De Smedt and Albert Stieglitz

183 President Trump's First Week in Office Was a Momentous One for Digital Assets

Matthew Bisanz, Joseph A. Castelluccio, Kimberly Hamm, Andrew Olmem, and Jennifer Zepralka

191 Trump 2.0: A New Era for the Regulation of Cryptocurrency and Digital Assets

Brian H. Montgomery, David Oliwenstein, Tony Phillips, Daniel C. Wood, Adam Goldberg, and Brianna Larissa Ramos

199 Déjà Vu All Over Again: Trump's Tariffs and Their Impact on Government Contractors

J. Alex Ward and Sandeep N. Nandivada

- 207 Treasury Department Finalizes U.S. Outbound Investment Rules Nancy A. Fischer, Matthew R. Rabinowitz, Zachary C. Rozen, Samantha Franks, Erin Kwiatkowski, and Marcus J. Burden
- 213 Navigating New Security Requirements Under the Justice Department's Bulk Data Regulations: Is NIST Compliance Enough? Kaylee Cox Bankston and Reiley Jo Porter

219 Department of Justice-Federal Trade Commission Antitrust Guidelines for Business Activities Affecting Workers: Key Changes and Practical Takeaways

Mark L. Krotoski, Michael L. Sibarium, and Preston Taylor Edmondson

225 Key Highlights of Internal Revenue Code Section 761 Final Regulations and Impact on Internal Revenue Code Section 6417 Direct Payments

Amish Shah, Bryan Marcelino, Brad M. Seltzer, Nicole M. Elliott, Elizabeth Crouse, David H. Mann, Roger David Aksamit, and Joshua David Odintz

#### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

#### Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **EDITOR**

#### Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

#### Lynn E. Calkins

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP Washington, D.C.

#### Helaine I. Fingold

Member, Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. Baltimore

#### Nancy A. Fischer

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP Washington, D.C.

#### Bethany J. Hills

Partner, DLA Piper LLP (US) New York

#### Phil Lookadoo

Partner, Haynes and Boone, LLP Washington, D.C.

#### Michelle A. Mantine

Partner, Reed Smith LLP Pittsburgh

#### Ryan J. Strasser

Partner, Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP Richmond & Washington, D.C.

THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION (ISSN 2834-8818 (online)) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2025 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

**Publishing Staff** 

Publisher: Leanne Battle

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

This journal's cover includes a photo of Washington D.C.'s Metro Center underground station. The Metro's distinctive coffered and vaulted ceilings were designed by Harry Weese in 1969. They are one of the United States' most iconic examples of the brutalist design style often associated with federal administrative buildings. The photographer is by XH\_S on Unsplash, used with permission.

Cite this publication as:

The Journal of Federal Agency Action (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2025 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

**Editorial Office** 

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005 https://www.fastcase.com/

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

#### **Articles and Submissions**

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and anyone interested in federal agency actions.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

#### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Leanne Battle, Publisher, Full Court Press at leanne.battle@vlex.com or at 866.773.2782

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time 866.773.2782 (phone) support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales 202.999.4777 (phone) sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2834-8796 (print) ISSN 2834-8818 (online)

### Key Highlights of Internal Revenue Code Section 761 Final Regulations and Impact on Internal Revenue Code Section 6417 Direct Payments

Amish Shah, Bryan Marcelino, Brad M. Seltzer, Nicole M. Elliott, Elizabeth Crouse, David H. Mann, Roger David Aksamit, and Joshua David Odintz\*

In this article, the authors examine final regulations under Section 761 of the Internal Revenue Code that were adopted effective January 19, 2025.

The U.S. Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) on November 20, 2024, issued final regulations under Section 761 of the Internal Revenue Code. These regulations provide detailed guidance on how certain unincorporated organizations wishing to avoid being treated as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes, including to be able to claim direct payments of energy tax credits under Section 6417 of the Code, can make an election out of the partnership rules of Subchapter K (the Final 761 Regulations).

Effective January 19, 2025, the Final 761 Regulations generally adopt the proposed regulations (with certain modifications) issued in March 2024 (the First Proposed 761 Regulations).

Concurrently with the publication of the Final 761 Regulations, the Treasury Department and IRS also issued additional proposed regulations to further add to and revise certain administrative requirements for unincorporated organization electing out of the application of the partnership rules under Subchapter K (the Second Proposed 761 Regulations).

#### **Background**

Under Section 6417 of the Code, as enacted by the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), certain taxpayers that fall within the statutory

definition of "applicable entities" are allowed to elect to receive a direct payment (i.e., make an elective payment election) in lieu of a tax credit. Other than under specific and limited circumstances, partnerships and S corporations are generally not considered applicable entities and, therefore, are not eligible to make this elective payment election. That said, the Treasury Department and IRS created a special rule allowing applicable entities that co-own certain property through an "unincorporated organization" that, in turn, is eligible to and has made an election out of the partnership rules under Subchapter K (a Section 761(a) Election) to qualify for elective pay under certain circumstances. To provide further guidance on the Section 761(a) Election, the Treasury Department and IRS released the First Proposed 761 Regulations.

#### **Final 761 Regulations**

Below is a summary of the key changes of the Final 761 Regulations.

#### **Eligibility**

Under the First Proposed 761 Regulations, only certain unincorporated organizations, owned in whole or in part by applicable entities, that were created exclusively to jointly produce electricity from certain property (i.e., the "applicable unincorporated organizations") were eligible to make a Section 761(a) Election. The Final 761 Regulations helpfully expanded the scope of unincorporated organizations that were eligible to make a Section 761(a) Election to include unincorporated organizations that own and operate property for any clean energy tax credit under the IRA that are available for an elective payment election under Section 6417, as long as the following requirements are met:

- *Co-Ownership Requirement*. The unincorporated organization is owned, in whole or in part, by one or more applicable entities.
- Severance Requirement. The members of such unincorporated organization enter into a joint operating agreement in which the members reserve the right separately to take in kind or dispose of their pro rata shares of any property produced, extracted, or used, along with any associated renewable energy credits or similar credits.

- Purpose of Organization. The unincorporated organization is, pursuant to the joint operating agreement, organized exclusively to own and operate "applicable credit property" (as defined in Treasury Regulation § 1.6417-1(e)).
- Elective Payment Election. One or more of the applicable entities will make an elective payment election under Section 6417(a) for the "applicable credits," as defined in Section 6417(b), determined with respect to its share of the applicable credit property.
- Separate Income Computation. The members of such unincorporated organization are able to compute their income without the necessity of computing partnership taxable income.
- *Non-Association*. The unincorporated organization is not a syndicate, group, pool, or joint venture that is classifiable as an association or any group operating under an agreement that creates an organization classifiable as an association.

#### Joint Marketing Modification and Agent Delegation Rule

An existing rule generally prohibited unincorporated organizations from making a Section 761(a) Election if they jointly marketed services or property, though they could delegate such authority to an agent for no more than one year. The First Proposed and the Final 761 Regulations modified this agent delegation rule concerning joint marketing. Under this revised rule, an agent may be delegated authority on terms identical to those in a past year, provided that the delegation of joint marketing authority to act is not for a period of time that exceeds the minimum needs of the industry and each member delegating authority to that agent consents to those terms in writing at least once per year.

Notably, this modification to the existing rule, as finalized, aligns with the prior guidance and with industry practices and provides flexibility in managing the sale of produced property.

#### **Partnership Flip Structures**

The Treasury Department and IRS clarify that partnership flip structures (i.e., where the allocation of income, gains, losses, deductions, or credits changing at some point after a partnership is formed) are not eligible to make a Section 761(a) Election. In the preamble to the Final 761 Regulations, the Treasury Department

and IRS explained that such structures violate existing statutory requirements for electing out of Subchapter K.

Notably, partnership flip structures are also arguably incompatible with the right of severance requirement, under which members must reserve the right separately to take in kind or dispose of their shares of any property produced, extracted or used because members do not have a determinate "share" of the applicable credit property. Thus, this clarification ensures adherence to the consistent allocation of tax attributes amount members.

#### **Second Proposed 761 Regulations**

The Second Proposed 761 Regulations address key administrative requirements, including the process for unincorporated organizations to make valid Section 761(a) Elections and rules governing the timing and revocation of such elections. Additionally, the preamble to these regulations highlights Treasury Department and IRS effort to modernize certain outdated provisions of Subchapter K and invites public comments on the proposed rules before they are finalized.

- *Election Process*. The Section 761(a) Election must be made by including a statement with a properly executed Form 1065 (U.S. Return of Partnership Income). This statement must provide the following information:
  - Certain identifying information of the unincorporated organization and its members, a declaration of qualification for the election or a statement;
  - A statement that the unincorporated organization qualifies under Treasury Regulation § 1.761-2(a)(1) and either Treasury Regulation §§ 1.761-2(a)(2) or (3) (taking into account revised Treasury Regulation § 1.761-2(a)(4), as applicable);
  - A statement that all of the members of the unincorporated organization elect to be excluded from all of Subchapter K; and
  - A statement indicating where a copy of the agreement under which the organization operates is available (or if the agreement is oral, from whom the provisions of the agreement may be obtained).

Revocation of Election. The Second Proposed 761 Regulations would also update the procedure for obtaining permission to revoke a Section 761(a) Election by clarifying that such an application must be made by submitting a letter ruling request that complies with the requirements of Revenue Procedure 2024-1 or successor guidance. Taxpayers may continue to submit applications for permission to revoke an election by requesting a private letter ruling and can rely on the process in Revenue Procedure 2024-1 or successor guidance prior to the date regulations finalizing the Second Proposed 761 Regulations are published in the Federal Register.

Finally, the Second Proposed 761 Regulations also provide that an unincorporated organization's Section 761(a) Election generally terminates as a result of a "terminating transaction," subject to limited exceptions. A "terminating transaction" is the acquisition or disposition of an interest in a unincorporated organization (including transfers of such interest among members of the unincorporated organization), other than as the result of a transfer between a disregarded entity and its owner (since such transfer does not change the identity of the applicable entity for purposes of Section 6417).

Notably, many applicable entities—which include tax-exempt entities, governmental entities, the Tennessee Valley Authority, Indian Tribal governments, Alaska Native Corporations, and electric cooperatives—operate clean energy projects through partnerships for various business and financing reasons. The Final 761 Regulations provide welcome relief to those applicable entities, allowing a path to obtaining a direct payment of energy tax credits to facilitate the development of additional energy infrastructure.

#### Note

\* Amish Shah, Bryan Marcelino, Brad M. Seltzer, Nicole M. Elliott, Elizabeth Crouse, Roger David Aksamit, and Joshua David Odintz, attorneys with Holland & Knight LLP, may be contacted at amish.shah@hklaw.com, bryan .marcelino@hklaw.com, brad.seltzer@hklaw.com, nicole.elliott@hklaw.com, elizabeth.crouse@hklaw.com, roger.aksamit@hklaw.com, and joshua.odintz@hklaw.com, respectively. David. H. Mann (david.h.mann@hklaw.com) is a senior policy advisor at the firm.