This Note examines:

- The chief compliance officer’s (CCO) key role in preventing and containing cyber attacks.
- Developing a cyber incident response plan to report, investigate and respond to a cyber attack.
- Common cyber attack scenarios.
- Civil and criminal legal claims that may be brought against the perpetrators of cyber attacks.
- Recent case law relevant to some of the key issues discussed in this Note.


**WHAT IS A CYBER ATTACK?**

A cyber attack is an attack initiated from a computer against a website, computer system or individual computer (collectively, a computer) that compromises the confidentiality, integrity or availability of the computer or information stored on it. Cyber attacks take many forms, including:

- Gaining, or attempting to gain, unauthorized access to a computer system or its data.
- Unwanted disruption or denial of service attacks, including the take down of entire web sites.

Cyber attacks, including hacking, of business websites and computer systems are increasingly common. These attacks can be extremely damaging to businesses, particularly if security is breached and confidential business and personal data compromised. Cyber attacks and the resulting security breaches are part of a rapidly expanding international cyber threat that costs companies and taxpayers billions of dollars each year in lost information and response costs. Company executives are under increasing pressure to prevent these attacks and must act immediately to contain any damage once an attack occurs.
Installation of viruses or malicious code (malware) on a computer system.

Unauthorized use of a computer system for processing or storing data.

Changes to the characteristics of a computer system’s hardware, firmware or software without the owner’s knowledge, instruction or consent.

Inappropriate use of computer systems by employees or former employees.

The procedures for investigating and responding to a cyber attack depend largely on the nature of the attack itself (see Common Cyber Attack Scenarios).

Regardless of the nature of a cyber attack, the CCO of a company, or his equivalent, has the primary responsibility for preventing and responding to cyber attacks.

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER’S ROLE IN CYBER ATTACKS

In recent years, new and increased use of technologies such as mobile devices, social media and cloud computing has increased the risk posed by cyber criminals. As a result, in addition to other compliance matters, for example, SEC, SOX and Dodd-Frank compliance, the CCO is now also typically responsible for:

- Deterring cyber attacks.
- Quickly containing any attacks and minimizing any financial and reputational harm.

Some companies delegate responsibility for computer systems security to their chief information officer (CIO). The CIO is usually responsible for protecting access to a company’s information technology (IT) system and the privacy and security of information on that system. In some cases, the company may also have a chief privacy officer (CPO).

Whatever the company's organizational structure, the CCO must coordinate with the CIO and other company departments to prevent cyber attacks. The CCO must also work closely with the CIO to understand the steps being taken to deter these attacks. To some extent, the CCO is now also operating as a chief security officer and must therefore:

- Set up policies and procedures for employees to follow.
- Monitor the occurrence of possible cyber attacks.

ACTIONS TO PREVENT OR REDUCE THE RISK OF CYBER ATTACKS

There are a number of actions that the CCO should take to prevent or reduce cyber attack risk.

Determine the Company’s Security Chain

The CCO needs to determine and evaluate the company’s entire security chain. If even a single link is weak, the company could be vulnerable to attack.

Develop a Compliance Work Plan

The CCO should create a written compliance plan to monitor the highest risks for a potential cyber attack. The compliance plan must address cyber attack procedures in addition to other compliance matters. This should include:

- Policies.
- Codes of conduct.
- Training.
- Specific incident response procedures.

The compliance plan is a living document and should be reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis.

Prepare Legally Required Disclosures

The SEC has advised public companies that they are responsible for evaluating cybersecurity risks and disclosing these risks to investors as appropriate. CCOs of public companies therefore must assess whether their compliance plans and disclosure procedures comply with the SEC’s guidance. For more information, see Legal Update, SEC Division of Corporation Finance Issues Guidance on Cyber Security Disclosures (http://us.practicallaw.com/3-509-2465).

Coordinate with the CIO, CPO and Other Departments

The CCO must coordinate with the CIO and CPO on cyber attack issues. While there is overlap of responsibilities among the CCO, CIO and CPO, it is the CCO’s responsibility to ensure a coordinated effort among all relevant corporate departments to ensure effective communication and cooperation to prevent and respond to cyber attacks and data breaches. Key departments include:

- IT.
- Human resources.
- Legal.
- Each company business unit.

The CCO should require periodic meetings of all these departments to review policies, procedures and coordination efforts.

Implement an Enterprise-wide Data Management Program

The CCO should, in coordination with the CIO and CPO, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide risk data management program to mitigate risk and assure security of company and customer data.

As part of this program, the CCO should work with the IT, human resources and other appropriate departments to restrict employee access to information. Employees should only have access to information related to their job functions.
Review Employee Policies
Employee policies (for example, an electronic systems use policy) should restrict employees from “unauthorized access” or “exceeding authorized access” of the company’s computer systems. A policy that distinguishes between authorized employee access and unauthorized access may help companies pursue claims under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act involving unauthorized acts by employees (see also Computer Fraud and Abuse Act).

Invest in Computer Security and Protection Measures
The company should invest in security equipment and procedures to deter or prevent cyber attacks. These include the most up-to-date IT protection measures, for example:

- Having the company’s database on a different web server than the application server.
- Applying the latest security patches.
- Protecting all passwords.
- Using read-only views of documents and materials when possible.
- Maintaining strict input validation.
- Developing network security architecture.
- Monitoring activities and procedures of third-party contractors with access to the computer system (whether direct or remote).
- Performing network scans to assess activity on the network.
- Comparing outbound network traffic to baseline operations.
- Choosing names for tables and fields that are difficult to guess.

Adopt a Cyber Incident Response Plan and Employee Reporting Mechanisms
The company must adopt reporting mechanisms so that the CCO is promptly advised of all cyber attack attempts and can rapidly respond. All employees should be aware of the possibility of cyber attacks and where such attacks are most likely to be directed within the company (see also Cyber Incident Response Plans).

Adopt Procedures to Preserve Evidence
The CCO should ensure that the company has procedures in place to secure and preserve computer-related evidence if a cyber attack occurs, to:

- Better understand and repair any damage caused by an attack.
- Help any criminal or civil action against the violators.

Failure to properly preserve electronic evidence can adversely affect a later criminal or civil action against the violator (see also Box, Recent Case Law: Failure to Properly Secure Electronic Evidence).

Obtain Support of Senior Management
The board of directors, CEO, CFO and other senior management must support the CCO in preventing cyber attacks. This top-down approach aims to get the entire organization to accept compliance activities. The CCO should also have the authority to perform independent investigations when necessary.

Maintain Relationships with Law Enforcement Agencies
The CCO should create and maintain relationships with federal, state and local law enforcement and other related regulatory agencies that deal with cyber attacks (see also Box, Reporting Cyber Crime to the Appropriate Law Enforcement Agency).

CYBER INCIDENT RESPONSE PLANS
Every company should develop a written plan (cyber incident response plan) that identifies cyber attack scenarios and sets out appropriate responses. While the plan must be customized for each company’s particular circumstances, the plan should generally address the following basic components:

- Response team.
- Reporting.
- Initial response.
- Investigation.
- Recovery and follow-up.
- Public relations.
- Law enforcement.

Adopt Procedures to Preserve Evidence
The CCO should ensure that the company has procedures in place to secure and preserve computer-related evidence if a cyber attack occurs, to:

- Better understand and repair any damage caused by an attack.
- Help any criminal or civil action against the violators.

Failure to properly preserve electronic evidence can adversely affect a later criminal or civil action against the violator (see also Box, Recent Case Law: Failure to Properly Secure Electronic Evidence).

Obtain Support of Senior Management
The board of directors, CEO, CFO and other senior management must support the CCO in preventing cyber attacks. This top-down approach aims to get the entire organization to accept compliance activities. The CCO should also have the authority to perform independent investigations when necessary.

Maintain Relationships with Law Enforcement Agencies
The CCO should create and maintain relationships with federal, state and local law enforcement and other related regulatory agencies that deal with cyber attacks (see also Box, Reporting Cyber Crime to the Appropriate Law Enforcement Agency).

CYBER INCIDENT RESPONSE TEAM
The response team is responsible for developing the written cyber incident response plan and for investigating and responding to cyber attacks in accordance with that plan. Specifically, the response team, working with the CCO as appropriate, should:

- Develop the cyber incident response plan.
- Identify and classify cyber attack scenarios.
- Determine the tools and technology used to detect and prevent attacks.
- Secure the company’s computer network.
- Develop a checklist for handling initial investigations of cyber attacks.
- Determine the scope of an internal investigation once an attack has occurred.
- Conduct any investigations within the determined scope.
- Promote cyber security awareness within the company.
- Address data breach issues, including notification requirements.
- Conduct follow up reviews on the effectiveness of the company’s response to an actual attack.

A large e-commerce company that relies on its website for sales or other critical business operations may require a large formal response team. Smaller companies that rely less on their IT may have smaller and more informal teams.
DISCOVERY AND REPORTING OF CYBER INCIDENTS
The cyber incident response plan should address procedures to take on discovery and reporting of cyber attack incidents, including:
- Designating response team members to monitor industry practices to ensure that the:
  - company’s information systems are appropriately updated; and
  - company installs the latest software security patches to allow for early discovery of attacks.
- Continuously monitoring the company’s computer logs to discover any incidents.
- Creating a database to track all reported incidents.
- Creating a risk rating to classify all reported incidents as low, medium or high risk to facilitate an appropriate response.

INITIAL RESPONSE TO A CYBER ATTACK
If a potential attack is reported, the designated response team member should conduct a preliminary investigation to determine whether a cyber attack has occurred. If a cyber attack has occurred, the response team should follow the investigation checklist set out in the cyber incident response plan to conduct the initial investigation.

The initial response varies depending on the type of attack and level of seriousness. However, the response team should aim to:
- Stop the cyber intrusions from spreading further into the company’s computer systems.
- Appropriately document the investigation.

INVESTIGATING A CYBER ATTACK
Following the initial response assessment, the company may decide to undertake a formal internal investigation depending on the level of intrusion and its impact on critical business functions. An internal investigation allows the company to:
- Gain a fuller understanding of the computer intrusion.
- Increase its chances of identifying the attacker.
- Detect previously-unknown security vulnerabilities.
- Identify required improvements to computer systems.

If the company’s response team or IT department lacks the capacity or expertise to conduct an internal investigation the company may wish to retain:
- Legal counsel.
- A cyber security consultant.

COMMON CYBER ATTACK SCENARIOS
Cyber attacks often fall into one or more common scenarios. Effective cyber incident response plans anticipate and prepare for these common scenarios in advance and provide preliminary investigatory questions for each scenario. Obtaining fast and accurate answers to these questions helps shape and expedite the investigation.

Some of these common cyber attack scenarios along with initial areas of investigation are explained below.

Inside Jobs
An employee or contractor working at a company may exploit his position to hack the company’s computers or otherwise compromise its IT systems. In this case, companies should immediately ask:
- Who is the subject of the investigation?
- What is his position and tenure with the company?
- How tech-savvy is the subject?
- What is his ability to harm the company?
- What kinds of digital devices does the subject typically use (for example, PC, laptop or mobile phone)?
- What kinds of data and data systems does the subject have access to?
- Are audit trails available that show what systems the subject commonly accesses?
- What are the company’s policies regarding digital devices and remote access to its systems?
- What are the policies regarding permissible behavior on the company’s network?

Social Engineering
Social engineering is a hacking technique that uses low-tech or non-technical approaches to persuade people to compromise security procedures and disclose sensitive information. An example of this is impersonating company IT personnel and calling unsuspecting employees to get them to reveal confidential information such as computer access codes or anti-virus software used by the company.

When social engineering is suspected, companies should immediately ask:
- What information was potentially disclosed or breached?
- What system at the company was targeted?
- How was the attack discovered?
- Was the company notified by the victim or another affected party?
- Is there a reporting process in place for social engineering attacks?
- Are complete phone logs available?
- What company or system weakness allowed the attack to succeed?
PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS AND PUBLIC RELATIONS AFTER A CYBER ATTACK

The cyber incident response plan may designate one or more executives responsible for handling press releases and other public announcements about the cyber attack including:

- The desirability of any announcements.
- The timing and content of any announcements.

The company may also have to address customer or user concerns and take measures to restore confidence and loyalty, for example, where there has been theft of credit card and other personal information or denial of service.

LAW ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATIONS OF CYBER ATTACKS

Many security-related incidents do not result in criminal investigations because companies do not contact law enforcement. Several law enforcement agencies investigate and prosecute cyber attacks and other computer incidents (see Reporting Cyber Crimes to Law Enforcement).

The response team should meet with its various law enforcement representatives before an incident occurs to discuss:

- When incidents should be reported to them.
- How the reporting should be performed.
- What evidence should be collected.
- How evidence should be collected.

The cyber incident response plan should designate one incident response team member, for example, the CCO, as the primary point of contact with law enforcement.

CUSTOMIZE THE CYBER INCIDENT RESPONSE PLAN

CCOs and CIOs should work closely with their IT departments, response team, legal counsel and, where appropriate, cyber security consultants, to develop a cyber incident response plan that addresses the specific needs of their organization.

The following resources can assist companies developing cyber incident response plans:

- The Computer Security Incident Handling Guide, published by the US Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology, assists organizations in:
  - establishing computer security incident response capabilities; and
  - handling incidents efficiently and effectively.
- The SANS Institute provides:
  - information security training and security certification; and
  - research documents about various aspects of information security.

RECOVERY AND FOLLOW-UP AFTER A CYBER ATTACK

The cyber incident response plan should address the recovery of the company’s computer systems by both:

- Eliminating the vulnerabilities exploited by the attacker and any other identified vulnerabilities.
- Bringing the repaired systems back online.

Once systems are restored, the response team should:

- Determine what cyber security management improvements are needed to prevent similar incidents from reoccurring.
- Evaluate how the response team executed the response plan.
- Consider whether the cyber incident response plan can be improved.

Exploitation Malware

Viruses and malware that exploit vulnerabilities in a company’s computer systems are prevalent. For example, hackers may introduce them to computer systems by tricking employees into opening infected e-mails. Some malware is designed to steal confidential information such as social security numbers, credit card numbers and bank account log in numbers.

The cyber incident response plan must contain procedures to defend against malware and following a cyber attack, ensure that an investigation is done to ascertain whether any information has been stolen. However, IT departments commonly clean the computer system after a cyber attack without checking whether confidential information has been stolen.

Extortion and Blackmail

A company may receive threats from individuals claiming to have hacked its website or computer systems offering to return stolen confidential information in exchange for money or property. These extortionists frequently target small businesses because of their perceived inability to fight back.

In this case, the company must conduct an immediate threat assessment to determine whether its computer systems have been attacked and, if so, how it was accomplished. Companies can do the following:

- Determine whether the extortionist has done what he claims by isolating areas that may be affected to determine if they have been compromised.
- Determine the feasibility of restoring critical systems where a denial of service attack affects critical infrastructure. This includes assessing whether restoring service will negatively affect collecting evidence in the investigation.
- Document all aspects of the investigation and secure and preserve all evidence, including logs of critical system events.

Exploitation Malware

Viruses and malware that exploit vulnerabilities in a company’s computer systems are prevalent. For example, hackers may introduce them to computer systems by tricking employees into opening infected e-mails. Some malware is designed to steal confidential information such as social security numbers, credit card numbers and bank account log in numbers.

The cyber incident response plan must contain procedures to defend against malware and following a cyber attack, ensure that an investigation is done to ascertain whether any information has been stolen. However, IT departments commonly clean the computer system after a cyber attack without checking whether confidential information has been stolen.
**REPORTING CYBER CRIME TO LAW ENFORCEMENT**

**Designating a Law Enforcement Liaison**

Large-scale cyber attacks should always be reported to law enforcement. Companies should designate a response team member as the primary point of contact with law enforcement, including:

- Federal investigatory agencies.
- District attorneys.
- State and local law enforcement.

This person should understand the jurisdictional issues arising from the location of the company, its assets and the attacker. For example, a company based in one state may have a server located in a second state that is attacked from a system in a third state, which is being used remotely by an attacker in a fourth state. Dealing with this scenario may require the assistance of law enforcement in multiple jurisdictions.

**Law Enforcement Agencies that Investigate Internet Crime**

The primary federal law enforcement agencies that investigate domestic crime on the internet include:

- The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).
- The US Secret Service (Secret Service).
- The US Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).
- The US Postal Inspection Service.
- The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF).

Each agency has offices located in every state to which crimes may be reported. In general, suspected crimes may be reported to the local office of an appropriate law enforcement agency by a telephone call and by requesting the Duty Complaint Agent. Each federal law enforcement agency also has an office in Washington, DC, with agents who specialize in particular areas. For example, the FBI and the Secret Service both have headquarters-based specialists in computer intrusion cases.

The Department of Justice provides information on the agencies that may be appropriate for reporting different kinds of cyber crime (see Box, Reporting Cyber Crime to the Appropriate Law Enforcement Agency).

**Additional Cyber Crime Resources**

Another resource for reporting cyber crime is the Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3). The IC3 is a partnership between the FBI and the National White Collar Crime Center which receives, develops and refers criminal complaints regarding cyber crime. It gives the victims of cyber crime a reporting mechanism that alerts authorities to suspected criminal violations.

For law enforcement and regulatory agencies at the federal, state and local level, IC3 provides a central referral mechanism for complaints involving internet related crimes.

For state-related cyber questions, the National Association of Attorney Generals maintains a Computer Crime Point of Contact List.

**CRIMINAL PROSECUTION**

Where an internal investigation leads to evidence of the attacker’s possible identity, companies should consider preparing formal referrals to law enforcement for possible criminal prosecution. Companies considering this course of action can retain white collar crime or intellectual property counsel to guide them through the investigation, referral and criminal proceedings.

The outcome of a criminal prosecution may depend on the company’s ability to provide evidence and testimony. Counsel therefore should be prepared to help the prosecutor present complex computer crime evidence to a judge and jury. Counsel should also evaluate civil remedies and damage claims against the attackers (see Civil and Criminal Remedies for Cyber Attacks).

**CIVIL AND CRIMINAL REMEDIES FOR CYBER ATTACKS**

**IDENTIFYING THE HACKERS**

The viability of any criminal or civil cyber attack prosecution initially depends on the company’s or law enforcement agency’s ability to identify and locate the hacker. In some cases, an entire network or organization of hackers may be involved. Many hackers are located outside of the US, presenting jurisdictional issues. Some cyber attacks are suspected to be initiated by foreign governments or government-sanctioned groups.

If the company has the IP addresses of the hacker, it may be able to identify the internet service provider (ISP) through which the hacker launched the attack. The company may then demand that the ISP identify the hacker. If the ISP denies this request, the company can file either:

- A “John Doe” or “Jane Doe” action against the anonymous hacker to get discovery and issue a subpoena to the relevant ISP to reveal the hacker’s identity.
- If copyright infringement is involved, a subpoena action under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) (Pub. L. No. 105-304, 112 Stat. 2860). The DMCA authorizes copyright owners to subpoena an ISP engaged in storing on its servers material that is infringing or the subject of infringing activity. The copyright owner can use this subpoena to request the names of alleged hackers.

However, a sophisticated attacker can hide his identity by various methods, including using someone else’s computer to launch an attack. This makes it difficult to identify the hacker, because multiple ISP’s may be involved, each with only incomplete information. Forensic consulting firms can assist with this process of identification, but it is time consuming, expensive and not always successful. The company therefore may elect to
pursue hackers on a selective basis, and publicize successful results to send a deterrent message. The company may also contact law enforcement for assistance (see Reporting Cyber Crime to Law Enforcement).

COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT

The Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA) (18 U.S.C. § 1030) is the main federal criminal statute regulating hacking and other computer crimes. The CFAA generally criminalizes:

- Accessing computers without authorization, or in excess of authorization.
- Using such unlawfully accessed computers to obtain information that causes loss, damage or defrauds another or the US government.

Protected Computers under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act

The CFAA governs cases involving protected computers, which are defined as computers that meet one or more of the following criteria:

- Exclusively used by a financial institution or the US government.
- Not covered by the above bullet point, but:
  - which are used by or for a financial institution or the US government; and
  - where the offense affects the computers’ use by or for a financial institution or the US government.
- Used in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce or communication. This includes use of computers located outside the US that affects:
  - interstate or foreign commerce; or
  - communication within the US.

Prohibited Acts under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act

The CFAA prohibits the following acts:

- Computer trespassing (for example, hacking) in a government computer.
- Computer trespassing that exposes certain governmental, credit, financial or computer-housed information.
- Damaging a government computer, a bank computer or a computer used in, or affecting, interstate or foreign commerce. Examples of this type of damage include:
  - a worm;
  - a computer virus;
  - a trojan horse;
  - a time bomb;
  - denial of service attack and other forms of cyber attack; and
  - cyber crime or cyber terrorism.

- Committing fraud which involves unauthorized access to a protected computer.
- Threatening to damage a protected computer.
- Trafficking in passwords for a government computer or trafficking that affects interstate or foreign commerce.
- Accessing a computer to commit espionage.

It is also a crime to attempt or conspire to commit any of these acts.

Penalties under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act

The penalties for committing CFAA offenses range between:

- Imprisonment for up to one year for simple cyberspace trespassing.
- A maximum of life imprisonment when death results from intentional computer damage.

The Computer Abuse Amendments Act of 1994 added civil remedies to the CFAA allowing any person who suffers damage or loss through a CFAA violation to maintain a civil action against the violator for:

- Compensatory damages.
- Injunctive or other equitable relief.

(18 U.S.C. § 1030(g).)

In particular, the CFAA authorizes a civil action against a person who knowingly and with intent to defraud traffics in any password or similar information through which a computer may be accessed without authorization. The plaintiff generally must allege losses of $5,000 or more.

OTHER CIVIL AND CRIMINAL REMEDIES

Depending on the circumstances, other civil remedies may be available. Companies can also refer criminal cyber attacks to the appropriate law enforcement authorities for criminal prosecution (see Reporting Cyber Crime to Law Enforcement). Various additional civil and criminal remedies are set out below.

Wiretap Act and Electronic Communications Privacy Act

The Wiretap Act, as amended by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act:

- Prohibits the interception, use or disclosure of wire and electronic communications unless a statutory exception applies.
- Authorizes civil actions by private persons.

Violators are subject to a range of sanctions, including:

- Actual damages.
- Punitive damages.
- Statutory damages (the greater of $10,000 or $100 a day per violation).
- Attorneys’ fees.

(18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2522)
DMCA Anti-circumvention

The DMCA prohibits the:

- Circumvention of technological, anti-piracy measures built into most commercial software to control access to copyrighted works.
- Manufacture, sale or distribution of code-cracking devices used to illegally copy software.

The law authorizes civil actions for:

- Actual or statutory damages.
- Injunctive and other equitable relief.
- Attorneys’ fees.

(17 U.S.C. § 1201.)

Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO Act)

The RICO Act provides criminal penalties, including up to 20 years imprisonment, for acts performed as part of an ongoing criminal organization. Specifically, the RICO Act penalizes those engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity, which includes at least two acts of fraud and related activity in connection with:

- Identification documents.
- Wire fraud.
- Criminal infringement of a copyright.
- Trafficking in counterfeit labels.

The RICO Act also provides for a civil action by any person injured in his business or property, through a RICO Act violation, for:

- Recovery of money damages, including treble damages.
- Costs.
- Reasonable attorneys’ fees.


State Computer Crime Laws

Criminal and civil actions may be brought under various state laws targeting computer fraud. For example, in California it is illegal to knowingly access and without permission alter, damage, delete, destroy or otherwise use any data, computer, computer system or computer network to:

- Defraud.
- Deceive.
- Extort.
- Wrongfully control or obtain money, property or data.

Other prohibited activities include knowingly:

- Accessing and copying data from a computer or computer system or network.
- Using without authorization, disrupting or denying a computer service.
- Introducing contaminants into a computer service.
Also, the owner of a computer system or program who suffers damage or loss through a violation of the law may bring a civil action for:

- Damages.
- Injunctive or other equitable relief.
- Attorneys’ fees.

(Cal. Penal Code § 502.)

State laws may also authorize civil actions against the parents of a minor hacker.

**Other Civil Actions**

Civil actions may also be available for:

- Violating the terms and conditions of use of a company’s website, including:
  - contract-based liability; and
  - common law and statutory liability.
- Computer trespass and conversion.

**OTHER ACTIONS TO DETER OR MITIGATE CYBER ATTACKS**

In addition to pursuing available civil and criminal claims, companies should consider the following to respond to cyber attacks and mitigate any resulting losses.

**CEASE AND DESIST LETTERS**

Where there are ongoing violations, a company may decide to issue a cease and desist letter to a hacker before commencing a civil action. The letter should:

- Aim to persuade the hacker to cease violations under the threat of civil actions and remedies.
- If appropriate, inform the hacker that if the alleged conduct rises to the level of a criminal violation, and a case is brought by the proper authorities, the hacker could be subject to criminal penalties.

However, the letter should **not** directly accuse the hacker of criminal conduct or threaten criminal prosecution.

Before deciding to issue a cease and desist letter, the company should evaluate the possible responses from the hacker, which may include:

- Disregarding the letter completely.
- Posting it on a website in an effort to ridicule enforcement efforts.
- Adopting alternative hacking approaches.
- Terminating violations.

**DMCA TAKEDOWN NOTICES**

Hackers may post materials on third-party websites or their own websites that infringe copyright. ISPs seeking the DMCA safe harbor for infringing acts by their third-party users must remove copyright infringing material from user’s web sites on notice. A copyright owner can contact the ISP or website operator (together, service providers) of the infringing website through a DMCA takedown notice to request that the service providers:

- Remove or disable access to the infringing material or activity.
- Cease linking or referring users to other online locations that contain infringing material or activity.

The DMCA takedown notice should be sent to the appropriate service and:

- Explain the infringements appearing on the site.
- Request that the site:
  - be shut down; or
  - remove any infringements.

For the DMCA notice to be effective, it must comply with certain statutory requirements. For a sample DMCA takedown notice, see Standard Document, DMCA Complaint (Takedown Notice) (http://us.practicallaw.com/3-502-6258).

If the service provider seeks safe harbor protection, it must remove the infringing material or disable access to it in response to a DMCA notice that substantially complies with statutory requirements. If a service provider disregards a proper DMCA takedown notice, it may be held responsible for the infringements in any lawsuit.

The DMCA allows the alleged infringer to issue a counter-notice in response to a DMCA takedown notice. The service provider must forward the counter-notice to the copyright owner. If the alleged infringer issues a counter-notice, the service provider must restore access to the infringing site or materials if the copyright owner does not sue the alleged infringer within ten days after it receives the counter-notice. When sending a counter-notice, the alleged infringer must:

- Provide its name and address.
- Submit to federal court jurisdiction.

This may help the copyright owner maintain a lawsuit with less concern for jurisdictional and certain other defenses.

**CYBER LIABILITY INSURANCE COVERAGE**

Companies should carefully review their liability insurance policies and consult with their insurance brokers to determine whether they are adequately insured for cyber attack losses. Companies may want to obtain insurance coverage for:

- Privacy and data breach liability.
- Computer hardware, software and data damage or loss.
- Crisis management.
- Business interruption, denial of service attack and lost income.
- Loss of business reputation.
- Cyber extortion.
- Media or web content liability.
RECENT CASE LAW

Representative cases discussing various civil and criminal legal issues arising in cyber attacks are described below.

IMMEDIATE DISCOVERY OF HACKER IDENTITIES

In *Liberty Media Holdings, LLC v. Does 1-59*, a California district court allowed a website owner to conduct immediate discovery against unknown defendants who allegedly unlawfully bypassed the website’s protective payment and login procedures, and accessed copyrighted materials *(97 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1986 (S.D. Cal. 2011))*.

The website owner provided the unique IP addresses assigned to each defendant and the court found that the owner sufficiently alleged:

- Unauthorized access by the defendants under the CFAA.
- Intentional access to stored electronic data in violation of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act.
- Unauthorized reproduction and distribution of the plaintiff’s copyrighted works onto local hard drives in violation of the Copyright Act.

The court therefore allowed the website owner to serve subpoenas on the defendants’ ISPs for information sufficient to identify the unknown parties attached to the IP addresses.

FAILURE TO PROPERLY SECURE ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE

In *United States v. Koo*, an Oregon federal district court held that an image of the hard drive from an employee’s company-issued laptop was inadmissible to prove the contents of the computer at the time it was confiscated because of evidence that before handing over the laptop to the FBI for processing, a supervisor:

- Booted the machine.
- Accessed files.
- Allegedly altered content.

*(770 F. Supp. 2d 1115 (D. Or. 2011)).*

The defendants, former employees of the complainant, were charged with wire fraud, trade secret theft and computer fraud, among other things, arising out of their alleged copying of confidential company data to start a competing enterprise. The court granted the defendants’ motion to exclude two hard drive images the FBI took of the defendant’s laptop for failure to authenticate them under Rule 901 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

The court found that the government could not make a prima facie showing that the laptop image was in substantially the same condition as the laptop seized from the defendant.

DETERMINING VALUE UNDER COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT

In *United States v. Batti*, the US Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit affirmed the district court’s decision finding that a felony conviction under the CFAA on the basis that the value of electronic information wrongfully obtained exceeded $5,000 *(18 U.S.C. 1030(2)(B)(iii)), does not require that the:

- Unauthorized access cause loss.
- Defendant profit from his intrusion.

*(631 F.3d 371 (6th Cir. 2011)).*

The US Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit affirmed the defendant’s felony conviction under the CFAA for improperly accessing confidential files from his employer’s computer servers, rejecting the defendant’s argument that the value of the proprietary television commercial footage wrongfully obtained did not exceed the $5,000 statutory requirement. Because no readily ascertainable market value for the corporate advertising footage existed, a trier of fact need only determine the value of the information through some appropriate means. The Sixth Circuit determined that the cost of production of that footage was a permissible basis on which to rely in determining whether the value of the information obtained exceeded $5,000.

The Sixth Circuit also affirmed that the lower court’s restitution award for the company’s expenses for IT security company services and legal advice of $47,565 was not excessive in nature.

REASONABLENESS OF BANK SECURITY PROCEDURES AGAINST CYBER ATTACKS

In *Patco Construction Co. v. People’s United Bank*, a federal district court magistrate granted a bank’s motion for summary judgment on claims under UCC Article 4A and other causes of action against the bank for recoupment of unauthorized automated clearing house (ACH) wire transfers from a customer’s commercial bank account by unknown hackers *(2011 WL 2174507 (D. Me. May 27, 2011)).*

The magistrate found that the bank’s security procedures provided to a commercial customer were commercially reasonable and complied with Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council guidelines. This was because the security features (including company IDs and passwords, individual user IDs and passwords, and challenge questions and answers) provided multilayered security.

The magistrate rejected the customer’s argument that the bank should have adopted stronger security procedures then available to protect against fraudulent transfers, including,
for example, security tokens and stronger out-of-band authentication techniques. Instead, Article 4A of the UCC only requires “commercially reasonable” security.

However, in another case similarly involving fraudulent wire transfers, the US District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan found that a bank failed to show that it shut down fraudulent wire transfer activity in the customer’s account within a reasonable time after receiving an alert of suspicious activity (Experi-Metal v. Comerica Bank, 2011 WL 2433383 (E.D. Mich. June 13, 2011)). The court determined that the bank did not act promptly enough to stop fraudulent wire transfers in light of, among other things:

- The volume and frequency of the payment orders, which included many transfers within hours.
- The $5 million overdraft created by the fraudulent wire transfers in what was regularly a zero balance account.
- The customer’s limited prior wire transfer activity.
- The destinations and beneficiaries of the funds, which included individual accounts in Russia and Estonia.
- The bank’s knowledge of previous and current phishing schemes against accountholders.

### AUTO-FORWARDING ANOTHER PARTY’S E-MAILS PROHIBITED BY WIRETAP ACT

In United States v. Szymuskiewicz, the US Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit held that automatic forwarding of an employee’s e-mails by another employee was an intentional interception of electronic communications in violation of the federal Wiretap Act (622 F.3d 701 (7th Cir. 2010)).

The court rejected the defendant’s argument that:

- Setting up an auto-forwarding rule in Microsoft Outlook was not an “interception” of the e-mails while they were in transit.
- At most, the defendant could only be convicted of violating the Stored Communications Act (see Stored Communications Act), which prohibits accessing electronic data in storage.

The court found that the interception was “contemporaneous” with the communication because:

- Either the company’s regional server or the supervisor’s computer made copies of the messages for the defendant within a second of each message’s arrival.
- Evidence showed that the Outlook rule was implemented on the server side, which was normal for Outlook, and such copying was an unlawful interception.

The court concluded that under the Wiretap Act, an intentional interception is enough and a prosecutor does not have to show that the intruder obtained valuable information through the interception.

For more information, see Wiretap Act and Electronic Communications Privacy Act and Stored Communications Act.

### REPORTING CYBER CRIME TO THE APPROPRIATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF CYBER CRIME</th>
<th>INVESTIGATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer intrusion (for example, hacking)</td>
<td>FBI local office, Secret Service, Local police department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Password trafficking</td>
<td>FBI local office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet fraud matters that have a mail nexus</td>
<td>US Postal Inspection Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet fraud and SPAM</td>
<td>FBI local office, Secret Service (Financial Crimes Division), Federal Trade Commission (online complaint), Securities and Exchange Commission (online complaint for investment fraud-related SPAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet harassment and bomb threats</td>
<td>FBI local office, ATF local office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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