## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	§
COMMISSION	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
V.	§ Case 04:17-CV-00336-ALM
	<b>§</b>
THURMAN P. BRYANT, III and	§
BRYANT UNITED CAPITAL FUNDING,	§
INC.	§
	§
Defendants,	§
2 010110001100,	§
and	§
	8 8
ARTHUR F. WAMMEL, WAMMEL	8 8
GROUP, LLC, THURMAN P. BRYANT,	8 8
JR., CARLOS GOODSPEED a/k/a SEAN	§
PHILLIPS d/b/a TOP AGENT	8
ENTERTAINMENT d/b/a/ MR. TOP	8
	8
AGENT ENTERTAINMENT	§ .
	8
Relief Defendants.	Q

## ORDER APPROVING EMPLOYMENT OF VERITAS ADVISORY GROUP, INC. AS FINANCIAL CONSULTANT TO RECEIVER EFFECTIVE AS OF MAY 15, 2017

Before the Court is the Request for Order Approving Receiver's Employment of Veritas Advisory Group, Inc., as Financial Consultant to Receiver Effective as of May 15, 2017 and the supporting declaration submitted by Brandi Kleinman (collectively, the "Veritas Application"), filed by Jennifer Ecklund, the Court-appointed Receiver (the "Receiver") for the Thurman P. Bryant, III and Bryant United Capital Funding, Inc. (collectively the "Defendants") receivership estates (together, the "Estate") in the above-captioned case (the "Case"), and, pursuant to the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not defined herein shall be given the meanings ascribed to them in the Application.

Court's *Order Appointing Receiver* entered on May 15, 2017 in this Case, the Court finds that:

(i) it has subject matter jurisdiction over the Veritas Application; (ii) it has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants; (iii) Veritas Advisory Group, Inc. ("Veritas") (a) does not represent or hold any interest adverse to the Defendants or the Estate and (b) is disinterested and not otherwise disqualified from representing the Receiver; (iv) the Receiver's employment of Veritas as Retained Personnel under the terms outlined in the Veritas Application is in the best interests of the Estate; (v) proper and adequate notice of the Veritas Application under the circumstances has been given and no other or further notice is necessary; (vi) no objection to the Veritas Application was filed with this Court; (vii) (a) the Receiver engaged Veritas on May 15, 2017, and (b) the employment of Veritas should be effective as of that date; and (viii) the Receiver has shown good, sufficient, and sound business purpose and justification for the relief requested in the Veritas Application, and, after due deliberation thereon, good and sufficient cause exists for granting the relief requested therein; accordingly,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Veritas Application is **GRANTED**.
- 2. In accordance with the Receivership Order, the Receiver is authorized to employ and retain Veritas as a financial consultant in accordance with the compensation terms in the Veritas Application, including as detailed in the supporting Kleinman Declaration, effective as of May 15, 2017.
- 3. Veritas is authorized to provide professional services to the Receiver in this Case as outlined in the Veritas Application, including as detailed in the supporting Kleinman Declaration, as permitted in the Receivership Order.

4. Veritas shall file applications for the approval of compensation of its fees and expenses on an interim and final basis in compliance with the Court's Order, the local rules of this Court, and such other procedures that may be fixed by order of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 23rd day of August, 2017.

AMOS L. MAZZANT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE